

# Logic and the set theory

## Lecture 7, 8: Predicate Logic

S. Choi

Department of Mathematical Science  
KAIST, Daejeon, South Korea

Fall semester, 2011

# About this lecture

- Russell's theory of Description

# About this lecture

- Russell's theory of Description
- Predicate and names

# About this lecture

- Russell's theory of Description
- Predicate and names
- Quantifiers and variables

# About this lecture

- Russell's theory of Description
- Predicate and names
- Quantifiers and variables
- Formation rules

# About this lecture

- Russell's theory of Description
- Predicate and names
- Quantifiers and variables
- Formation rules
- Models

# About this lecture

- Russell's theory of Description
- Predicate and names
- Quantifiers and variables
- Formation rules
- Models
- Refutation trees of predicate logic

# About this lecture

- Russell's theory of Description
- Predicate and names
- Quantifiers and variables
- Formation rules
- Models
- Refutation trees of predicate logic
- Identity



# About this lecture

- Russell's theory of Description
- Predicate and names
- Quantifiers and variables
- Formation rules
- Models
- Refutation trees of predicate logic
- Identity
- Course homepages: <http://mathsci.kaist.ac.kr/~schoi/logic.html> and the moodle page <http://moodle.kaist.ac.kr>

# About this lecture

- Russell's theory of Description
- Predicate and names
- Quantifiers and variables
- Formation rules
- Models
- Refutation trees of predicate logic
- Identity
- Course homepages: <http://mathsci.kaist.ac.kr/~schoi/logic.html> and the moodle page <http://moodle.kaist.ac.kr>
- Grading and so on in the moodle. Ask questions in moodle.

# Some helpful references

- Sets, Logic and Categories, Peter J. Cameron, Springer

# Some helpful references

- Sets, Logic and Categories, Peter J. Cameron, Springer
- A mathematical introduction to logic, H. Enderton, Academic Press.

# Some helpful references

- Sets, Logic and Categories, Peter J. Cameron, Springer
- A mathematical introduction to logic, H. Enderton, Academic Press.
- Whitehead, Russell, Principia Mathematica (our library). (This could be a project idea. )

## Some helpful references

- Sets, Logic and Categories, Peter J. Cameron, Springer
- A mathematical introduction to logic, H. Enderton, Academic Press.
- Whitehead, Russell, Principia Mathematica (our library). (This could be a project idea. )
- <http://plato.stanford.edu/contents.html> has much resource. See “Descriptions”.

## Some helpful references

- Sets, Logic and Categories, Peter J. Cameron, Springer
- A mathematical introduction to logic, H. Enderton, Academic Press.
- Whitehead, Russell, Principia Mathematica (our library). (This could be a project idea. )
- <http://plato.stanford.edu/contents.html> has much resource. See "Descriptions".
- <http://ocw.mit.edu/OcwWeb/Linguistics-and-Philosophy/24-241Fall-2005/CourseHome/> See "Monadic Predicate Calculus".

## Some helpful references

- Sets, Logic and Categories, Peter J. Cameron, Springer
- A mathematical introduction to logic, H. Enderton, Academic Press.
- Whitehead, Russell, Principia Mathematica (our library). (This could be a project idea. )
- <http://plato.stanford.edu/contents.html> has much resource. See "Descriptions".
- <http://ocw.mit.edu/OcwWeb/Linguistics-and-Philosophy/24-241Fall-2005/CourseHome/> See "Monadic Predicate Calculus".
- <http://philosophy.hku.hk/think/pl/>. See Module: Predicate Logic.



## Some helpful references

- Sets, Logic and Categories, Peter J. Cameron, Springer
- A mathematical introduction to logic, H. Enderton, Academic Press.
- Whitehead, Russell, Principia Mathematica (our library). (This could be a project idea. )
- <http://plato.stanford.edu/contents.html> has much resource. See "Descriptions".
- <http://ocw.mit.edu/OcwWeb/Linguistics-and-Philosophy/24-241Fall-2005/CourseHome/> See "Monadic Predicate Calculus".
- <http://philosophy.hku.hk/think/pl/>. See Module: Predicate Logic.
- <http://logic.philosophy.ox.ac.uk/>. See "Predicate Calculus" in Tutorial.

# Some helpful references

- [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Truth\\_table](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Truth_table),

## Some helpful references

- [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Truth\\_table](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Truth_table),
- <http://logik.phl.univie.ac.at/~chris/gateway/formular-uk-zentral.html>,  
complete (i.e. has all the steps)

## Some helpful references

- [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Truth\\_table](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Truth_table),
- <http://logik.phl.univie.ac.at/~chris/gateway/formular-uk-zentral.html>, **complete (i.e. has all the steps)**
- <http://svn.oriontransfer.org/TruthTable/index.rhtml>, **has xor, complete.**

- All  $S$  are  $P$ :  $\forall x, (Sx \rightarrow Px)$ . (Not  $\forall x, Sx \wedge Px$ )

# Formalizations

- All  $S$  are  $P$ :  $\forall x, (Sx \rightarrow Px)$ . (Not  $\forall x, Sx \wedge Px$ )
- No  $S$  is  $P$ :  $\forall x, (Sx \rightarrow \neg Px)$ . (Not  $\forall x, Sx \wedge \neg Px$ )

# Formalizations

- All  $S$  are  $P$ :  $\forall x, (Sx \rightarrow Px)$ . (Not  $\forall x, Sx \wedge Px$ )
- No  $S$  is  $P$ :  $\forall x, (Sx \rightarrow \neg Px)$ . (Not  $\forall x, Sx \wedge \neg Px$ )
- Some  $S$  are  $P$ :  $\exists x, Sx \wedge Px$  (Not  $\exists x, Sx \rightarrow Px$ .)

# Formalizations

- All  $S$  are  $P$ :  $\forall x, (Sx \rightarrow Px)$ . (Not  $\forall x, Sx \wedge Px$ )
- No  $S$  is  $P$ :  $\forall x, (Sx \rightarrow \neg Px)$ . (Not  $\forall x, Sx \wedge \neg Px$ )
- Some  $S$  are  $P$ :  $\exists x, Sx \wedge Px$  (Not  $\exists x, Sx \rightarrow Px$ .)
- Sometimes, one has to use  $\wedge$  and sometimes  $\rightarrow$ .



# Formalizations

- All  $S$  are  $P$ :  $\forall x, (Sx \rightarrow Px)$ . (Not  $\forall x, Sx \wedge Px$ )
- No  $S$  is  $P$ :  $\forall x, (Sx \rightarrow \neg Px)$ . (Not  $\forall x, Sx \wedge \neg Px$ )
- Some  $S$  are  $P$ :  $\exists x, Sx \wedge Px$  (Not  $\exists x, Sx \rightarrow Px$ .)
- Sometimes, one has to use  $\wedge$  and sometimes  $\rightarrow$ .
- See Problems 6.1 and 6.2. page 132-133 Nolt.

# Russell's theory of Description

- Often we use sentences like “Tom is a man”. “A person of African descent is the President of America.”

# Russell's theory of Description

- Often we use sentences like “Tom is a man”. “A person of African descent is the President of America.”
- $M(x)$ :  $x$  is a man,  $B(x)$ :  $x$  is of African descent.  $P(x)$ :  $x$  is the President of America.

# Russell's theory of Description

- Often we use sentences like “Tom is a man”. “A person of African descent is the President of America.”
- $M(x)$ :  $x$  is a man,  $B(x)$ :  $x$  is of African descent.  $P(x)$ :  $x$  is the President of America.
- We have  $M(\text{Tom})$ .

# Russell's theory of Description

- Often we use sentences like “Tom is a man”. “A person of African descent is the President of America.”
- $M(x)$ :  $x$  is a man,  $B(x)$ :  $x$  is of African descent.  $P(x)$ :  $x$  is the President of America.
- We have  $M(\text{Tom})$ .
- There exists  $x$  s.t.  $B(x) \wedge P(x)$  hold.

# Russell's theory of Description

- Often we use sentences like “Tom is a man”. “A person of African descent is the President of America.”
- $M(x)$ :  $x$  is a man,  $B(x)$ :  $x$  is of African descent.  $P(x)$ :  $x$  is the President of America.
- We have  $M(\text{Tom})$ .
- There exists  $x$  s.t.  $B(x) \wedge P(x)$  hold.
- How does one analyze such arguments logically.

# Russell's theory of Description

- Often we use sentences like “Tom is a man”. “A person of African descent is the President of America.”
- $M(x)$ :  $x$  is a man,  $B(x)$ :  $x$  is of African descent.  $P(x)$ :  $x$  is the President of America.
- We have  $M(Tom)$ .
- There exists  $x$  s.t.  $B(x) \wedge P(x)$  hold.
- How does one analyze such arguments logically.
- A statement such as  $a$  is a KAIST student.

# Russell's theory of Description

- Often we use sentences like “Tom is a man”. “A person of African descent is the President of America.”
- $M(x)$ :  $x$  is a man,  $B(x)$ :  $x$  is of African descent.  $P(x)$ :  $x$  is the President of America.
- We have  $M(Tom)$ .
- There exists  $x$  s.t.  $B(x) \wedge P(x)$  hold.
- How does one analyze such arguments logically.
- A statement such as  $a$  is a KAIST student.
- This is a description  $K(a)$ .



# Russell's theory of Description

- Is the statement "The present king of Korea is of Japanese descent" correct?

# Russell's theory of Description

- Is the statement "The present king of Korea is of Japanese descent" correct?
- There exists  $x$  such that  $K(x) \rightarrow J(x)$ . (True always)

# Russell's theory of Description

- Is the statement "The present king of Korea is of Japanese descent" correct?
- There exists  $x$  such that  $K(x) \rightarrow J(x)$ . (True always)
- There exists  $x$  such that  $K(x) \wedge J(x)$ . (False)

# Russell's theory of Description

- Is the statement "The present king of Korea is of Japanese descent" correct?
- There exists  $x$  such that  $K(x) \rightarrow J(x)$ . (True always)
- There exists  $x$  such that  $K(x) \wedge J(x)$ . (False)
- These two are logically different.

# Russell's theory of Description

- Is the statement "The present king of Korea is of Japanese descent" correct?
- There exists  $x$  such that  $K(x) \rightarrow J(x)$ . (True always)
- There exists  $x$  such that  $K(x) \wedge J(x)$ . (False)
- These two are logically different.
- Of course the theory of descriptions has some controversies as well. (If one accepts the theory, there are many implications.)

# Quantifiers

- Universal quantifier  $\forall x$ .

# Quantifiers

- Universal quantifier  $\forall x$ .
- Existential quantifier  $\exists x$ .

# Quantifiers

- Universal quantifier  $\forall x$ .
- Existential quantifier  $\exists x$ .
- There exists  $x$  such that if  $x$  is  $K(x)$ , then  $x$  is  $J(x)$ .



# Quantifiers

- Universal quantifier  $\forall x$ .
- Existential quantifier  $\exists x$ .
- There exists  $x$  such that if  $x$  is  $K(x)$ , then  $x$  is  $J(x)$ .
- $\exists x, K(x) \rightarrow J(x)$ .

# Quantifiers

- Universal quantifier  $\forall x$ .
- Existential quantifier  $\exists x$ .
- There exists  $x$  such that if  $x$  is  $K(x)$ , then  $x$  is  $J(x)$ .
- $\exists x, K(x) \rightarrow J(x)$ .
- Every body in KAIST has a course that he takes and which he hates.

# Quantifiers

- Universal quantifier  $\forall x$ .
- Existential quantifier  $\exists x$ .
- There exists  $x$  such that if  $x$  is  $K(x)$ , then  $x$  is  $J(x)$ .
- $\exists x, K(x) \rightarrow J(x)$ .
- Every body in KAIST has a course that he takes and which he hates.
- $\forall x(K(x) \rightarrow \exists c(T(x, c) \wedge H(x, c)))$ .

# Examples

- Nobody wish to get close to some one with H1N1 virus.

# Examples

- Nobody wish to get close to some one with H1N1 virus.
- $\forall x(H1(x) \rightarrow \neg(\exists yC(y, x)))$ .

# Examples

- Nobody wish to get close to some one with H1N1 virus.
- $\forall x(H1(x) \rightarrow \neg(\exists yC(y, x)))$ .
- If any one in the dorm has a friend who has the measles, then everyone in the room will be quarantined.

# Examples

- Nobody wish to get close to some one with H1N1 virus.
- $\forall x(H1(x) \rightarrow \neg(\exists yC(y, x)))$ .
- If any one in the dorm has a friend who has the measles, then everyone in the room will be quarantined.
- $(\exists x(D(x) \wedge (\exists y(F(y, x) \wedge M(y)))))) \rightarrow (\forall z(D(z) \rightarrow Q(z)))$ .

# Quantifier negation laws

- $\neg\exists xP(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x\neg P(x)$ .



# Quantifier negation laws

- $\neg\exists xP(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x\neg P(x)$ .
- $\neg\forall xP(x) \leftrightarrow \exists x\neg P(x)$ .

# Quantifier negation laws

- $\neg\exists xP(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x\neg P(x)$ .
- $\neg\forall xP(x) \leftrightarrow \exists x\neg P(x)$ .
- This will be proved later. (See also HTP)

# Quantifier negation laws

- $\neg\exists xP(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x\neg P(x)$ .
- $\neg\forall xP(x) \leftrightarrow \exists x\neg P(x)$ .
- This will be proved later. (See also HTP)
- Every body has a relative he does not like.

# Quantifier negation laws

- $\neg\exists xP(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x\neg P(x)$ .
- $\neg\forall xP(x) \leftrightarrow \exists x\neg P(x)$ .
- This will be proved later. (See also HTP)
- Every body has a relative he does not like.
- Negate this statement.

# Quantifier negation laws

- $\neg\exists xP(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x\neg P(x)$ .
- $\neg\forall xP(x) \leftrightarrow \exists x\neg P(x)$ .
- This will be proved later. (See also HTP)
- Every body has a relative he does not like.
- Negate this statement.
- $\forall x(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .

# Quantifier negation laws

- $\neg\exists xP(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x\neg P(x)$ .
- $\neg\forall xP(x) \leftrightarrow \exists x\neg P(x)$ .
- This will be proved later. (See also HTP)
- Every body has a relative he does not like.
- Negate this statement.
- $\forall x(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\neg\forall x(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .

# Quantifier negation laws

- $\neg\exists xP(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x\neg P(x)$ .
- $\neg\forall xP(x) \leftrightarrow \exists x\neg P(x)$ .
- This will be proved later. (See also HTP)
- Every body has a relative he does not like.
- Negate this statement.
- $\forall x(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\neg\forall x(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\exists x\neg(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .

# Quantifier negation laws

- $\neg\exists xP(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x\neg P(x)$ .
- $\neg\forall xP(x) \leftrightarrow \exists x\neg P(x)$ .
- This will be proved later. (See also HTP)
- Every body has a relative he does not like.
- Negate this statement.
- $\forall x(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\neg\forall x(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\exists x\neg(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\exists x(\forall y\neg(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .



# Quantifier negation laws

- $\neg\exists xP(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x\neg P(x)$ .
- $\neg\forall xP(x) \leftrightarrow \exists x\neg P(x)$ .
- This will be proved later. (See also HTP)
- Every body has a relative he does not like.
- Negate this statement.
- $\forall x(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\neg\forall x(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\exists x\neg(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\exists x(\forall y\neg(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\exists x\forall y(\neg R(x, y) \vee L(x, y))$ .

# Quantifier negation laws

- $\neg\exists xP(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x\neg P(x)$ .
- $\neg\forall xP(x) \leftrightarrow \exists x\neg P(x)$ .
- This will be proved later. (See also HTP)
- Every body has a relative he does not like.
- Negate this statement.
- $\forall x(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\neg\forall x(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\exists x\neg(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\exists x(\forall y\neg(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\exists x\forall y(\neg R(x, y) \vee L(x, y))$ .
- $\exists x\forall y(R(x, y) \rightarrow L(x, y))$ .

# Quantifier negation laws

- $\neg\exists xP(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x\neg P(x)$ .
- $\neg\forall xP(x) \leftrightarrow \exists x\neg P(x)$ .
- This will be proved later. (See also HTP)
- Every body has a relative he does not like.
- Negate this statement.
- $\forall x(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\neg\forall x(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\exists x\neg(\exists y(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\exists x(\forall y\neg(R(x, y) \wedge \neg L(x, y)))$ .
- $\exists x\forall y(\neg R(x, y) \vee L(x, y))$ .
- $\exists x\forall y(R(x, y) \rightarrow L(x, y))$ .
- There is someone who likes all his relatives.

# Interchangeable

- $\forall x\forall y$  interchangeable to  $\forall y\forall x$ .

# Interchangeable

- $\forall x\forall y$  interchangeable to  $\forall y\forall x$ .
- $\exists x\exists y$  interchangeable to  $\exists y\exists x$ .

# Interchangeable

- $\forall x\forall y$  interchangeable to  $\forall y\forall x$ .
- $\exists x\exists y$  interchangeable to  $\exists y\exists x$ .
- Other types are not interchangeable.

# Interchangeable

- $\forall x\forall y$  interchangeable to  $\forall y\forall x$ .
- $\exists x\exists y$  interchangeable to  $\exists y\exists x$ .
- Other types are not interchangeable.
- $\exists x\exists y(T(y, x) \wedge P(y, x))$ .

# Interchangeable

- $\forall x\forall y$  interchangeable to  $\forall y\forall x$ .
- $\exists x\exists y$  interchangeable to  $\exists y\exists x$ .
- Other types are not interchangeable.
- $\exists x\exists y(T(y, x) \wedge P(y, x))$ .
- There is some one A who is a teacher of some one B and is younger than B.



# Interchangeable

- $\forall x\forall y$  interchangeable to  $\forall y\forall x$ .
- $\exists x\exists y$  interchangeable to  $\exists y\exists x$ .
- Other types are not interchangeable.
- $\exists x\exists y(T(y, x) \wedge P(y, x))$ .
- There is some one A who is a teacher of some one B and is younger than B.
- $\exists y\exists x(T(y, x) \wedge P(y, x))$

# Interchangeable

- $\forall x\forall y$  interchangeable to  $\forall y\forall x$ .
- $\exists x\exists y$  interchangeable to  $\exists y\exists x$ .
- Other types are not interchangeable.
- $\exists x\exists y(T(y, x) \wedge P(y, x))$ .
- There is some one A who is a teacher of some one B and is younger than B.
- $\exists y\exists x(T(y, x) \wedge P(y, x))$
- There is some one B who is a student of some one A and is older than A.

# Some other equivalences

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .

# Some other equivalences

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists x f \vee \exists x g.$
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall x f \wedge \forall y g.$

# Some other equivalences

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists x f \vee \exists x g$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall x f \wedge \forall y g$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists x f) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  
 $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists x f) \vee g$

## Some other equivalences

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall xf \wedge \forall yg$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  
 $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \vee g$
- $\forall x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \vee g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  
 $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \wedge g$

## Some other equivalences

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall xf \wedge \forall yg$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \vee g$
- $\forall x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \vee g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \wedge g$
- $\exists yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \exists zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

## Some other equivalences

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall xf \wedge \forall yg$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \vee g$
- $\forall x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \vee g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \wedge g$
- $\exists yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \exists zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\forall yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \forall zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .



## Some other equivalences

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall xf \wedge \forall yg$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \vee g$
- $\forall x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \vee g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \wedge g$
- $\exists yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \exists zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\forall yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \forall zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\exists xf \leftrightarrow f$  if  $x$  is not a free variable of  $f$ .

## Some other equivalences

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall xf \wedge \forall yg$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \vee g$
- $\forall x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \vee g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \wedge g$
- $\exists yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \exists zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\forall yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \forall zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\exists xf \leftrightarrow f$  if  $x$  is not a free variable of  $f$ .
- $\forall xf \leftrightarrow f$  if  $x$  is not a free variable of  $f$ .

## Some other equivalences

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall xf \wedge \forall yg$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \vee g$
- $\forall x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \vee g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \wedge g$
- $\exists yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \exists zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\forall yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \forall zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\exists xf \leftrightarrow f$  if  $x$  is not a free variable of  $f$ .
- $\forall xf \leftrightarrow f$  if  $x$  is not a free variable of  $f$ .
- But  $\exists x(E(x) \wedge T(x))$  is not equivalent to  $(\exists xE(x)) \wedge (\exists xT(x))$ .

## Some other equivalences

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall xf \wedge \forall yg$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \vee g$
- $\forall x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \vee g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \wedge g$
- $\exists yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \exists zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\forall yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \forall zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\exists xf \leftrightarrow f$  if  $x$  is not a free variable of  $f$ .
- $\forall xf \leftrightarrow f$  if  $x$  is not a free variable of  $f$ .
- But  $\exists x(E(x) \wedge T(x))$  is not equivalent to  $(\exists xE(x)) \wedge (\exists xT(x))$ .
- $\forall x(E(x) \vee T(x))$  is not equivalent to  $(\forall xE(x)) \vee (\forall xT(x))$ .

# Predicate and names

- Jones is a thief.  $T(j)$ .

# Predicate and names

- Jones is a thief.  $T(j)$ .
- $T(x)$   $x$  is a thief.  $j$  Jones.

# Predicate and names

- Jones is a thief.  $T(j)$ .
- $T(x)$   $x$  is a thief.  $j$  Jones.
- Bob loves Cathy.

# Predicate and names

- Jones is a thief.  $T(j)$ .
- $T(x)$   $x$  is a thief.  $j$  Jones.
- Bob loves Cathy.
- $L(b, c)$ ,  $L(c, b)$ .



# Predicate and names

- Jones is a thief.  $T(j)$ .
- $T(x)$   $x$  is a thief.  $j$  Jones.
- Bob loves Cathy.
- $L(b, c)$ ,  $L(c, b)$ .
- Cathy gave Fido to Bob.

# Predicate and names

- Jones is a thief.  $T(j)$ .
- $T(x)$   $x$  is a thief.  $j$  Jones.
- Bob loves Cathy.
- $L(b, c)$ ,  $L(c, b)$ .
- Cathy gave Fido to Bob.
- $G(c, f, b)$ .  $G(x, y, z)$ .  $x$  gave  $y$  to  $z$ .

# Predicate and names

- Jones likes everyone.

# Predicate and names

- Jones likes everyone.
- $\forall xL(j, x)$ .

# Predicate and names

- Jones likes everyone.
- $\forall xL(j, x)$ .
- Jones likes a nurse.

# Predicate and names

- Jones likes everyone.
- $\forall xL(j, x)$ .
- Jones likes a nurse.
- $\exists x(N(x) \wedge L(j, x))$ .

# Predicate and names

- Jones likes everyone.
- $\forall xL(j, x)$ .
- Jones likes a nurse.
- $\exists x(N(x) \wedge L(j, x))$ .
- Jones likes every nurse.

# Predicate and names

- Jones likes everyone.
- $\forall xL(j, x)$ .
- Jones likes a nurse.
- $\exists x(N(x) \wedge L(j, x))$ .
- Jones likes every nurse.
- $\forall x(N(x) \rightarrow L(j, x))$ .



# Predicate and names

- Jones likes everyone.
- $\forall xL(j, x)$ .
- Jones likes a nurse.
- $\exists x(N(x) \wedge L(j, x))$ .
- Jones likes every nurse.
- $\forall x(N(x) \rightarrow L(j, x))$ .
- A nurse likes a mechanic.

# Predicate and names

- Jones likes everyone.
- $\forall xL(j, x)$ .
- Jones likes a nurse.
- $\exists x(N(x) \wedge L(j, x))$ .
- Jones likes every nurse.
- $\forall x(N(x) \rightarrow L(j, x))$ .
- A nurse likes a mechanic.
- $\exists x\exists y((N(x) \wedge M(y)) \rightarrow L(x, y))$ .

# Formation rules

- Logical symbols:

# Formation rules

- Logical symbols:
  - ▶ Logical operators  $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftarrow$ .

# Formation rules

- Logical symbols:

- ▶ Logical operators  $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$ .
- ▶ Quantifiers  $\forall, \exists$ .

# Formation rules

- Logical symbols:

- ▶ Logical operators  $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftarrow$ .
- ▶ Quantifiers  $\forall, \exists$ .
- ▶ Variables; letter  $u, v, z, \dots$

# Formation rules

- Logical symbols:
  - ▶ Logical operators  $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftarrow$ .
  - ▶ Quantifiers  $\forall, \exists$ .
  - ▶ Variables; letter  $u, v, z, \dots$
- Nonlogical symbols:

# Formation rules

- Logical symbols:
  - ▶ Logical operators  $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftarrow$ .
  - ▶ Quantifiers  $\forall, \exists$ .
  - ▶ Variables; letter  $u, v, z, \dots$
- Nonlogical symbols:
  - ▶ Names:  $a, b, \dots, t$ .



# Formation rules

- Logical symbols:
  - ▶ Logical operators  $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftarrow$ .
  - ▶ Quantifiers  $\forall, \exists$ .
  - ▶ Variables; letter  $u, v, z, \dots$
- Nonlogical symbols:
  - ▶ Names:  $a, b, \dots, t$ .
  - ▶ Predicate:  $A, B, C, \dots$

# Well formed formula

- Any atomic formula is a wff.  $P$ ,  $K(a)$ ,  $J(a, b)$ , so on.

# Well formed formula

- Any atomic formula is a wff.  $P$ ,  $K(a)$ ,  $J(a, b)$ , so on.
- If  $\phi$  is a wff, then so is  $\neg\phi$ .

# Well formed formula

- Any atomic formula is a wff.  $P$ ,  $K(a)$ ,  $J(a, b)$ , so on.
- If  $\phi$  is a wff, then so is  $\neg\phi$ .
- If  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are wffs, then so are  $\phi \wedge \psi$ ,  $\phi \vee \psi$ ,  $\phi \rightarrow \psi$ , and  $\phi \leftrightarrow \psi$ .

# Well formed formula

- Any atomic formula is a wff.  $P$ ,  $K(a)$ ,  $J(a, b)$ , so on.
- If  $\phi$  is a wff, then so is  $\neg\phi$ .
- If  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are wffs, then so are  $\phi \wedge \psi$ ,  $\phi \vee \psi$ ,  $\phi \rightarrow \psi$ , and  $\phi \leftrightarrow \psi$ .
- If  $\phi$  is a wff containing a name letter  $\alpha$ , then any formula of form  $\forall\beta\phi^{\beta/\alpha}$  and  $\exists\beta\phi^{\beta/\alpha}$  for a variable  $\beta$  are wff.

# Well formed formula

- Any atomic formula is a wff.  $P$ ,  $K(a)$ ,  $J(a, b)$ , so on.
- If  $\phi$  is a wff, then so is  $\neg\phi$ .
- If  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are wffs, then so are  $\phi \wedge \psi$ ,  $\phi \vee \psi$ ,  $\phi \rightarrow \psi$ , and  $\phi \leftrightarrow \psi$ .
- If  $\phi$  is a wff containing a name letter  $\alpha$ , then any formula of form  $\forall\beta\phi^{\beta/\alpha}$  and  $\exists\beta\phi^{\beta/\alpha}$  for a variable  $\beta$  are wff.
- Here,  $\phi^{\beta/\alpha}$  means that we replace every or some occurrence of  $\alpha$  in  $\phi$  with  $\beta$ .

# Examples

- $F(a) \wedge G(a, b)$ .  $a$  is fast and  $a$  is greater than  $b$ .

# Examples

- $F(a) \wedge G(a, b)$ .  $a$  is fast and  $a$  is greater than  $b$ .
- $\forall x(F(x) \wedge G(x, b))$ .



# Examples

- $F(a) \wedge G(a, b)$ .  $a$  is fast and  $a$  is greater than  $b$ .
- $\forall x(F(x) \wedge G(x, b))$ .
- $\exists y\forall x(F(x) \wedge G(x, y))$ .

# Examples

- $F(a) \wedge G(a, b)$ .  $a$  is fast and  $a$  is greater than  $b$ .
- $\forall x(F(x) \wedge G(x, b))$ .
- $\exists y\forall x(F(x) \wedge G(x, y))$ .
- There exists someone who is less than all the fast people.

# Examples

- $F(a) \wedge G(a, b)$ .  $a$  is fast and  $a$  is greater than  $b$ .
- $\forall x(F(x) \wedge G(x, b))$ .
- $\exists y\forall x(F(x) \wedge G(x, y))$ .
- There exists someone who is less than all the fast people.
- $\forall xL(x, z)$

# Examples

- $F(a) \wedge G(a, b)$ .  $a$  is fast and  $a$  is greater than  $b$ .
- $\forall x(F(x) \wedge G(x, b))$ .
- $\exists y\forall x(F(x) \wedge G(x, y))$ .
- There exists someone who is less than all the fast people.
- $\forall xL(x, z)$
- not wff.

# Examples

- $F(a) \wedge G(a, b)$ .  $a$  is fast and  $a$  is greater than  $b$ .
- $\forall x(F(x) \wedge G(x, b))$ .
- $\exists y\forall x(F(x) \wedge G(x, y))$ .
- There exists someone who is less than all the fast people.
- $\forall xL(x, z)$
- not wff.
- $\exists x\exists x(F(x) \wedge (\neg G(x)))$ . This violates rules.

# Models

- Semantics or actual interpretations of symbols... i.e., universe  $A$ ,  $B$ ,... today's universe.... These could even be finitely many.

# Models

- Semantics or actual interpretations of symbols... i.e., universe  $A$ ,  $B$ ,... today's universe....  
These could even be finitely many.
- These could form sets, but not necessarily so.

# Models

- Semantics or actual interpretations of symbols... i.e., universe  $A$ ,  $B$ ,... today's universe....  
These could even be finitely many.
- These could form sets, but not necessarily so.
- Symbols: Model interpretations



# Models

- Semantics or actual interpretations of symbols... i.e., universe  $A$ ,  $B$ ,... today's universe....  
These could even be finitely many.
- These could form sets, but not necessarily so.
- Symbols: Model interpretations
- name letter: individual objects

# Models

- Semantics or actual interpretations of symbols... i.e., universe  $A, B, \dots$  today's universe....  
These could even be finitely many.
- These could form sets, but not necessarily so.
- Symbols: Model interpretations
- name letter: individual objects
- zero-place predicate letter: truth value T or F.

# Models

- Semantics or actual interpretations of symbols... i.e., universe  $A$ ,  $B$ ,... today's universe.... These could even be finitely many.
- These could form sets, but not necessarily so.
- Symbols: Model interpretations
- name letter: individual objects
- zero-place predicate letter: truth value T or F.
- one-place predicate letter: A class of objects.

# Models

- Semantics or actual interpretations of symbols... i.e., universe  $A, B, \dots$  today's universe....  
These could even be finitely many.
- These could form sets, but not necessarily so.
- Symbols: Model interpretations
- name letter: individual objects
- zero-place predicate letter: truth value T or F.
- one-place predicate letter: A class of objects.
- $n$ -place predicate letter: a relation between  $n$  objects.

- Semantics or actual interpretations of symbols... i.e., universe  $A$ ,  $B$ ,... today's universe....  
These could even be finitely many.
- These could form sets, but not necessarily so.
- Symbols: Model interpretations
- name letter: individual objects
- zero-place predicate letter: truth value  $T$  or  $F$ .
- one-place predicate letter: A class of objects.
- $n$ -place predicate letter: a relation between  $n$  objects.
- Given a model  $M$ , it is possible that different symbols represent the same objects or relations.

- Semantics or actual interpretations of symbols... i.e., universe  $A$ ,  $B$ ,... today's universe....  
These could even be finitely many.
- These could form sets, but not necessarily so.
- Symbols: Model interpretations
- name letter: individual objects
- zero-place predicate letter: truth value T or F.
- one-place predicate letter: A class of objects.
- $n$ -place predicate letter: a relation between  $n$  objects.
- Given a model  $M$ , it is possible that different symbols represent the same objects or relations.
- We try to avoid giving same letters to different objects or relations in models.

# Truth value assignment

- A single letter. The truth value is the one directly supplied by the model.

# Truth value assignment

- A single letter. The truth value is the one directly supplied by the model.
- Predicate  $P$ .  $P(a)$  is true if  $a$  belongs to the class of object denoted by  $P$ .



# Truth value assignment

- A single letter. The truth value is the one directly supplied by the model.
- Predicate  $P$ .  $P(a)$  is true if  $a$  belongs to the class of object denoted by  $P$ .
- $R(a, b, \dots, g)$  is true if the relation hold between  $a, b, \dots, g$  and is false if not.

# Examples

- Universe: the class of all people.

# Examples

- Universe: the class of all people.
- $o$  Obama,  $h$  Hillary Clinton,  $c$  Bill Clinton,  $g$  George W. Bush:  $P$  the class of the 21st century U.S. Presidents.  $B$  people who own black dogs.

# Examples

- Universe: the class of all people.
- $o$  Obama,  $h$  Hillary Clinton,  $c$  Bill Clinton,  $g$  George W. Bush:  $P$  the class of the 21st century U.S. Presidents.  $B$  people who own black dogs.
- $\forall x(Px \rightarrow Bx)$ .

# Examples

- Universe: the class of all people.
- $o$  Obama,  $h$  Hillary Clinton,  $c$  Bill Clinton,  $g$  George W. Bush:  $P$  the class of the 21st century U.S. Presidents.  $B$  people who own black dogs.
- $\forall x(Px \rightarrow Bx)$ .
- $x = o$ .  $T$ .  $x = g$ .  $T$ .

# Examples

- Universe: the class of all people.
- $o$  Obama,  $h$  Hillary Clinton,  $c$  Bill Clinton,  $g$  George W. Bush:  $P$  the class of the 21st century U.S. Presidents.  $B$  people who own black dogs.
- $\forall x(Px \rightarrow Bx)$ .
- $x = o$ .  $T$ .  $x = g$ .  $T$ .
- $x = h$  or any other person.  $T$ .

# Examples

- Universe: the class of all people.
- $o$  Obama,  $h$  Hillary Clinton,  $c$  Bill Clinton,  $g$  George W. Bush:  $P$  the class of the 21st century U.S. Presidents.  $B$  people who own black dogs.
- $\forall x(Px \rightarrow Bx)$ .
- $x = o$ .  $T$ .  $x = g$ .  $T$ .
- $x = h$  or any other person.  $T$ .
- Thus  $\forall x(Px \rightarrow Bx)$  is true.

# Examples

- Universe: the class of all people.
- $o$  Obama,  $h$  Hillary Clinton,  $c$  Bill Clinton,  $g$  George W. Bush:  $P$  the class of the 21st century U.S. Presidents.  $B$  people who own black dogs.
- $\forall x(Px \rightarrow Bx)$ .
- $x = o$ .  $T$ .  $x = g$ .  $T$ .
- $x = h$  or any other person.  $T$ .
- Thus  $\forall x(Px \rightarrow Bx)$  is true.
- Let  $P'$  be the class of 20th century president.



# Examples

- Universe: the class of all people.
- $o$  Obama,  $h$  Hillary Clinton,  $c$  Bill Clinton,  $g$  George W. Bush:  $P$  the class of the 21st century U.S. Presidents.  $B$  people who own black dogs.
- $\forall x(Px \rightarrow Bx)$ .
- $x = o$ .  $T$ .  $x = g$ .  $T$ .
- $x = h$  or any other person.  $T$ .
- Thus  $\forall x(Px \rightarrow Bx)$  is true.
- Let  $P'$  be the class of 20th century president.
- Check  $\forall x(P'x \rightarrow Bx)$ .

## $\alpha$ -variant of a model $M$

- $M$  a model, and  $\alpha$  a name letter (an external object)

## $\alpha$ -variant of a model $M$

- $M$  a model, and  $\alpha$  a name letter (an external object)
- The  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$  is a model with the same universe as  $M$  and freely interpreting  $\alpha$  as any object in  $M$ .

## $\alpha$ -variant of a model $M$

- $M$  a model, and  $\alpha$  a name letter (an external object)
- The  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$  is a model with the same universe as  $M$  and freely interpreting  $\alpha$  as any object in  $M$ .
- A universal quantification  $\forall\beta\phi$  is true in  $M$  if the wff  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  is true for every  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .

## $\alpha$ -variant of a model $M$

- $M$  a model, and  $\alpha$  a name letter (an external object)
- The  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$  is a model with the same universe as  $M$  and freely interpreting  $\alpha$  as any object in  $M$ .
- A universal quantification  $\forall\beta\phi$  is true in  $M$  if the wff  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  is true for every  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .
- An existential quantification  $\exists\beta\phi$  is true in  $M$  if the wff  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  is true for some  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .

## $\alpha$ -variant of a model $M$

- $M$  a model, and  $\alpha$  a name letter (an external object)
- The  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$  is a model with the same universe as  $M$  and freely interpreting  $\alpha$  as any object in  $M$ .
- A universal quantification  $\forall\beta\phi$  is true in  $M$  if the wff  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  is true for every  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .
- An existential quantification  $\exists\beta\phi$  is true in  $M$  if the wff  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  is true for some  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .
- If the wff  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  is true for no  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ , then  $\exists\beta\phi$  is false.

## $\alpha$ -variant of a model $M$

- $M$  a model, and  $\alpha$  a name letter (an external object)
- The  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$  is a model with the same universe as  $M$  and freely interpreting  $\alpha$  as any object in  $M$ .
- A universal quantification  $\forall\beta\phi$  is true in  $M$  if the wff  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  is true for every  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .
- An existential quantification  $\exists\beta\phi$  is true in  $M$  if the wff  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  is true for some  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .
- If the wff  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  is true for no  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ , then  $\exists\beta\phi$  is false.
- Universe: all living creatures.  $B$  the class of blue things.  $W$  the class of winged horses.

## $\alpha$ -variant of a model $M$

- $M$  a model, and  $\alpha$  a name letter (an external object)
- The  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$  is a model with the same universe as  $M$  and freely interpreting  $\alpha$  as any object in  $M$ .
- A universal quantification  $\forall\beta\phi$  is true in  $M$  if the wff  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  is true for every  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .
- An existential quantification  $\exists\beta\phi$  is true in  $M$  if the wff  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  is true for some  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .
- If the wff  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  is true for no  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ , then  $\exists\beta\phi$  is false.
- Universe: all living creatures.  $B$  the class of blue things.  $W$  the class of winged horses.
- $\forall x(Wx \rightarrow Bx)$ . Is this true?



## $\alpha$ -variant of a model $M$

- $M$  a model, and  $\alpha$  a name letter (an external object)
- The  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$  is a model with the same universe as  $M$  and freely interpreting  $\alpha$  as any object in  $M$ .
- A universal quantification  $\forall\beta\phi$  is true in  $M$  if the wff  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  is true for every  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .
- An existential quantification  $\exists\beta\phi$  is true in  $M$  if the wff  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  is true for some  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .
- If the wff  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  is true for no  $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ , then  $\exists\beta\phi$  is false.
- Universe: all living creatures.  $B$  the class of blue things.  $W$  the class of winged horses.
- $\forall x(Wx \rightarrow Bx)$ . Is this true?
- We can let  $\alpha$  be any living creature. Then  $Wx$  is always false.

# Examples

- Universe: the class of all positive integers

# Examples

- Universe: the class of all positive integers
- $E$ : the class of even integers,  $B$  relation bigger than

# Examples

- Universe: the class of all positive integers
- $E$ : the class of even integers,  $B$  relation bigger than
- $\forall x(Ex \rightarrow \forall yBxy)$ .

# Examples

- Universe: the class of all positive integers
- $E$ : the class of even integers,  $B$  relation bigger than
- $\forall x(Ex \rightarrow \forall yBxy)$ .
- $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .

# Examples

- Universe: the class of all positive integers
- $E$ : the class of even integers,  $B$  relation bigger than
- $\forall x(Ex \rightarrow \forall yBxy)$ .
- $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .
- $\alpha$  odd. Then true.

# Examples

- Universe: the class of all positive integers
- $E$ : the class of even integers,  $B$  relation bigger than
- $\forall x(Ex \rightarrow \forall yBxy)$ .
- $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .
- $\alpha$  odd. Then true.
- $\alpha$  even  $\forall yB_\alpha y$ . False.

# Examples

- Universe: the class of all positive integers
- $E$ : the class of even integers,  $B$  relation bigger than
- $\forall x(Ex \rightarrow \forall yBxy)$ .
- $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .
- $\alpha$  odd. Then true.
- $\alpha$  even  $\forall yB\alpha y$ . False.
- Thus false.



# Examples

- Universe: the class of all positive integers
- $E$ : the class of even integers,  $B$  relation bigger than
- $\forall x(Ex \rightarrow \forall yBxy)$ .
- $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .
- $\alpha$  odd. Then true.
- $\alpha$  even  $\forall yB\alpha y$ . False.
- Thus false.
- Example:  $\forall y\exists xBxy$ .

# Examples

- Universe: the class of all positive integers
- $E$ : the class of even integers,  $B$  relation bigger than
- $\forall x(Ex \rightarrow \forall yBxy)$ .
- $\alpha$ -variant of  $M$ .
- $\alpha$  odd. Then true.
- $\alpha$  even  $\forall yB\alpha y$ . False.
- Thus false.
- Example:  $\forall y\exists xBxy$ .
- True.

# Validity of predicate logic

- We would write some statements is valid if it is true for all models of the theory.

# Validity of predicate logic

- We would write some statements is valid if it is true for all models of the theory.
- We write  $P, Q, \models R$  if  $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R$  is true on every model of the theory.

# Validity of predicate logic

- We would write some statements is valid if it is true for all models of the theory.
- We write  $P, Q, \models R$  if  $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R$  is true on every model of the theory.
- Example:  $\exists x \forall y G(x, y) \models \forall y \exists x G(x, y)$  is valid.

# Validity of predicate logic

- We would write some statements is valid if it is true for all models of the theory.
- We write  $P, Q, \models R$  if  $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R$  is true on every model of the theory.
- Example:  $\exists x \forall y G(x, y) \models \forall y \exists x G(x, y)$  is valid.
- Example:  $\forall y \exists x G(x, y) \not\models \exists x \forall y G(x, y)$  is invalid. (See 6.20, 6.21, 6.22)

# Validity of predicate logic

- We would write some statements is valid if it is true for all models of the theory.
- We write  $P, Q, \models R$  if  $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R$  is true on every model of the theory.
- Example:  $\exists x \forall y G(x, y) \models \forall y \exists x G(x, y)$  is valid.
- Example:  $\forall y \exists x G(x, y) \models \exists x \forall y G(x, y)$  is invalid. (See 6.20, 6.21, 6.22)
- Note here the role of the models.

# Validity of predicate logic

- We would write some statements is valid if it is true for all models of the theory.
- We write  $P, Q, \models R$  if  $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R$  is true on every model of the theory.
- Example:  $\exists x \forall y G(x, y) \models \forall y \exists x G(x, y)$  is valid.
- Example:  $\forall y \exists x G(x, y) \models \exists x \forall y G(x, y)$  is invalid. (See 6.20, 6.21, 6.22)
- Note here the role of the models.
- In this book, we confuse  $\models$  with  $\vdash$ .



# Refutation trees of predicate logic

- One can use the refutation tree method for propositional logic for predicate logic also.

# Refutation trees of predicate logic

- One can use the refutation tree method for propositional logic for predicate logic also.
- This works by using negation rules for universal quantifiers and existential quantifiers. See Example 6.24.

# Refutation trees of predicate logic

- One can use the refutation tree method for propositional logic for predicate logic also.
- This works by using negation rules for universal quantifiers and existential quantifiers. See Example 6.24.
- We will give rules for refutation trees for predicate logic.

# Refutation trees of predicate logic

- One can use the refutation tree method for propositional logic for predicate logic also.
- This works by using negation rules for universal quantifiers and existential quantifiers. See Example 6.24.
- We will give rules for refutation trees for predicate logic.
- The rules can show the validity (i.e. the soundness of the rule.)

# Refutation trees of predicate logic

- One can use the refutation tree method for propositional logic for predicate logic also.
- This works by using negation rules for universal quantifiers and existential quantifiers. See Example 6.24.
- We will give rules for refutation trees for predicate logic.
- The rules can show the validity (i.e. the soundness of the rule.)
- However, rule may not detect invalidity (i.e. incompleteness of the rule). That is, sometimes, it won't give us counter-example.

# Refutation trees of predicate logic example

Prove  $\forall xF(x) \rightarrow \forall xG(x), \neg\forall xG(x) \vdash \neg\forall xF(x)$ .

# Refutation trees of predicate logic example

Prove  $\forall xF(x) \rightarrow \forall xG(x), \neg\forall xG(x) \vdash \neg\forall xF(x)$ .

1  $\forall xF(x) \rightarrow \forall xG(x)$ .

2  $\neg\forall xG(x)$

3  $\neg\neg\forall xF(x)$

# Refutation trees of predicate logic example

Prove  $\forall xF(x) \rightarrow \forall xG(x), \neg\forall xG(x) \vdash \neg\forall xF(x)$ .

1  $\forall xF(x) \rightarrow \forall xG(x)$ .

2  $\neg\forall xG(x)$

3  $\neg\neg\forall xF(x)$

1  $\checkmark \forall xF(x) \rightarrow \forall xG(x)$ .

2  $\neg\forall xG(x)$

3  $\neg\neg\forall xF(x)$ ,

4 (i)  $\neg\forall xF(x)$  (ii)  $\forall xG(x)$ .  $\rightarrow E.1$



# Refutation trees of predicate logic example

Prove  $\forall xF(x) \rightarrow \forall xG(x), \neg\forall xG(x) \vdash \neg\forall xF(x)$ .

1  $\forall xF(x) \rightarrow \forall xG(x)$ .

2  $\neg\forall xG(x)$

3  $\neg\neg\forall xF(x)$

1  $\checkmark \forall xF(x) \rightarrow \forall xG(x)$ .

2  $\neg\forall xG(x)$

3  $\neg\neg\forall xF(x)$ ,

4 (i)  $\neg\forall xF(x)$  (ii)  $\forall xG(x)$ .  $\rightarrow E.1$

2  $\neg\forall xG(x)$

3  $\neg\neg\forall xF(x)$ ,

4 (i)  $\neg\forall xF(x)$  (ii)  $\forall xG(x)$ .  $\rightarrow E.1$

5 (i) (X) (ii) (X) valid

# Universal quantifier rule $\forall$ .

- We have  $\forall\beta\phi$  and a name letter  $\alpha$  is on an open path containing it, write  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  at the bottom of that path.

## Universal quantifier rule $\forall$ .

- We have  $\forall\beta\phi$  and a name letter  $\alpha$  is on an open path containing it, write  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  at the bottom of that path.
- If no name letter appears on the open path, then choose some name letter  $\alpha$  and write  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  at the bottom of that path.

# Universal quantifier rule $\forall$ .

- We have  $\forall\beta\phi$  and a name letter  $\alpha$  is on an open path containing it, write  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  at the bottom of that path.
- If no name letter appears on the open path, then choose some name letter  $\alpha$  and write  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$  at the bottom of that path.
- But do not check  $\forall\beta\phi$ . (Since we will use it many times.)

# Example

- All university students are weak.
- Everyone is a university student.
- Thus, Alf is weak.
- $\forall x(Ux \rightarrow Wx), \forall xUx \vdash Wa$ .

# Example

- All university students are weak.
- Everyone is a university student.
- Thus, Alf is weak.
- $\forall x(Ux \rightarrow Wx), \forall xUx \vdash Wa$ .

1  $\forall x(Ux \rightarrow Wx),$

2  $\forall xUx$

3  $\neg Wa$ .

# Example

- All university students are weak.
- Everyone is a university student.
- Thus, Alf is weak.
- $\forall x(Ux \rightarrow Wx), \forall xUx \vdash Wa$ .

1  $\forall x(Ux \rightarrow Wx),$

2  $\forall xUx$

3  $\neg Wa.$

1  $\forall x(Ux \rightarrow Wx),$

2  $\forall xUx$

3  $\neg Wa.$

4  $Ua \rightarrow Wa$  (1  $\forall$ .)

# Example

- All university students are weak.
- Everyone is a university student.
- Thus, Alf is weak.
- $\forall x(Ux \rightarrow Wx), \forall xUx \vdash Wa$ .

1  $\forall x(Ux \rightarrow Wx),$   
2  $\forall xUx$   
3  $\neg Wa.$

1  $\forall x(Ux \rightarrow Wx),$   
2  $\forall xUx$   
3  $\neg Wa.$   
4  $Ua \rightarrow Wa$  (1  $\forall$ .)

1  $\forall x(Ux \rightarrow Wx),$   
2  $\forall xUx$   
3  $\neg Wa.$   
4  $Ua \rightarrow Wa$  (1  $\forall$ .)  
5  $Ua$  (2  $\forall$ )



- 1  $\forall x(Ux \rightarrow Wx),$
- 2  $\forall xUx$
- 3  $\neg Wa.$
- 4  $\checkmark Ua \rightarrow Wa$  (1  $\forall$ .)
- 5  $Ua$  (2  $\forall$ )
- 6 (i)  $\neg Ua$  (4.  $\rightarrow$ ) (ii)  $Wa$  (4  $\rightarrow$ ).

- 1  $\forall x(Ux \rightarrow Wx),$
- 2  $\forall xUx$
- 3  $\neg Wa.$
- 4  $\checkmark Ua \rightarrow Wa$  (1  $\forall.$ )
- 5  $Ua$  (2  $\forall$ )
- 6 (i)  $\neg Ua$  (4.  $\rightarrow$ ) (ii)  $Wa$  (4  $\rightarrow$ ).

- 1  $\forall x(Ux \rightarrow Wx),$
  - 2  $\forall xUx$
  - 3  $\neg Wa.$
  - 5  $Ua$  (2  $\forall$ )
  - 6 (i)  $\neg Ua$  (4.  $\rightarrow$ ) (X)  
(ii)  $Wa$  (4  $\rightarrow$ ). (X)
- valid

## More rules.

- Existential quantification  $\exists$ :  $\exists\beta\phi$  check it and choose  $\alpha$  not anywhere and write  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$ .

## More rules.

- Existential quantification  $\exists$ :  $\exists\beta\phi$  check it and choose  $\alpha$  not anywhere and write  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$ .
- Negated existential quantification  $\neg\exists$ :  $\neg\exists\phi$  check it and write  $\forall\neg\phi$ .

## More rules.

- Existential quantification  $\exists$ :  $\exists\beta\phi$  check it and choose  $\alpha$  not anywhere and write  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$ .
- Negated existential quantification  $\neg\exists$ :  $\neg\exists\phi$  check it and write  $\forall\neg\phi$ .
- Negated universal quantification  $\neg\forall$ :  $\neg\forall\phi$  check it and write  $\exists\neg\phi$ .

## More rules.

- Existential quantification  $\exists$ :  $\exists\beta\phi$  check it and choose  $\alpha$  not anywhere and write  $\phi^{\alpha/\beta}$ .
- Negated existential quantification  $\neg\exists$ :  $\neg\exists\phi$  check it and write  $\forall\neg\phi$ .
- Negated universal quantification  $\neg\forall$ :  $\neg\forall\phi$  check it and write  $\exists\neg\phi$ .
- These two are equivalences.

# Example

- Holmes, if any one can trap Moriarty, he can. Holmes can't. No-one can.
- $\forall xTxm \rightarrow Thm, \neg Thm, \vdash \neg\exists xTxm.$

# Example

- Holmes, if any one can trap Moriarty, he can. Holmes can't. No-one can.
- $\forall xTxm \rightarrow Thm, \neg Thm, \vdash \neg\exists xTxm$ .

1  $\forall xTxm \rightarrow Thm,$

2  $\neg Thm,$

3  $\neg\neg\exists xTxm$



# Example

- Holmes, if any one can trap Moriarty, he can. Holmes can't. No-one can.
- $\forall xTxm \rightarrow Thm, \neg Thm, \vdash \neg\exists xTxm$ .

- 1  $\forall xTxm \rightarrow Thm,$
- 2  $\neg Thm,$
- 3  $\neg\neg\exists xTxm$

- 1  $\forall xTxm \rightarrow Thm,$
- 2  $\neg Thm,$
- 3  $\checkmark \neg\neg\exists xTxm.$
- 4  $\exists xTxm.$

# Example

- Holmes, if any one can trap Moriarty, he can. Holmes can't. No-one can.
- $\forall xTxm \rightarrow Thm, \neg Thm, \vdash \neg\exists xTxm$ .

- 1  $\forall xTxm \rightarrow Thm,$
- 2  $\neg Thm,$
- 3  $\neg\neg\exists xTxm$

- 1  $\forall xTxm \rightarrow Thm,$
- 2  $\neg Thm,$
- 3  $\checkmark \neg\neg\exists xTxm.$
- 4  $\exists xTxm.$

- 1  $\forall xTxm \rightarrow Thm,$
- 2  $\neg Thm,$
- 4  $\exists xTxm.$
- 5  $Tmm \rightarrow Thm (1 \forall).$

1  $\forall x T x m \rightarrow T h m,$

2  $\neg T h m,$

4  $\exists x T x m.$

5  $\checkmark T m m \rightarrow T h m (1 \forall).$

6 (i)  $\neg T m m (5 \rightarrow)$  6.(ii)  $T h m. (5 \rightarrow).$  (X 2, 6)

1  $\forall xTxm \rightarrow Thm,$

2  $\neg Thm,$

4  $\exists xTxm.$

5  $\checkmark Tmm \rightarrow Thm (1 \forall).$

6 (i)  $\neg Tmm (5 \rightarrow)$  6.(ii)  $Thm. (5 \rightarrow).$  (X 2, 6)

1  $\forall xTxm \rightarrow Thm,$

2  $\neg Thm,$

4  $\exists xTxm.$

5  $Tmm \rightarrow Thm (1 \forall).$

6 (i)  $\neg Tmm (5 \rightarrow)$

7  $Tcm (4 \exists).$  6(ii)  $Thm. (5 \rightarrow).$  (X 2, 6)

8  $Tcm \rightarrow Thm (1 \forall)$

9 (i)  $\neg Tcm (X, 4)$  (ii)  $Thm (X, 2).$  (8  $\rightarrow$ ).

● valid

# Example

- There is some one who loves someone. Then there exists someone who loves himself.
- $\exists x \exists y Lxy \vdash \exists x Lxx$ .

# Example

- There is some one who loves someone. Then there exists someone who loves himself.
- $\exists x \exists y Lxy \vdash \exists x Lxx$ .

1  $\exists x \exists y Lxy$ .

2  $\neg \exists x Lxx$ .

# Example

- There is some one who loves someone. Then there exists someone who loves himself.
- $\exists x \exists y Lxy \vdash \exists x Lxx$ .

1  $\exists x \exists y Lxy$ .

2  $\neg \exists x Lxx$ .

1  $\checkmark \exists x \exists y Lxy$ .

2  $\neg \exists x Lxx$ .

3  $\exists y Lay$  (1  $\exists$ ).

# Example

- There is some one who loves someone. Then there exists someone who loves himself.
- $\exists x \exists y Lxy \vdash \exists x Lxx$ .

1  $\exists x \exists y Lxy$ .

2  $\neg \exists x Lxx$ .

1  $\checkmark \exists x \exists y Lxy$ .

2  $\neg \exists x Lxx$ .

3  $\exists y Lay$  (1  $\exists$ ).

1  $\checkmark \exists x \exists y Lxy$ .

2  $\neg \exists x Lxx$ .

3  $\checkmark \exists y Lay$  (1  $\exists$ ).

4 *Lab.* (4  $\exists$ ).



2  $\checkmark \neg \exists x Lxx.$

4 *Lab.* (4  $\exists$ .)

5  $\forall x \neg Lxx.$

2  $\checkmark \neg \exists x Lxx.$

4 *Lab.* (4  $\exists$ .)

5  $\forall x \neg Lxx.$

4 *Lab.* (4  $\exists$ .)

5  $\forall x \neg Lxx.$

6  $\neg Laa$  (5  $\forall$ .)

2  $\checkmark \neg \exists x Lxx.$

4 *Lab.* (4  $\exists$ .)

5  $\forall x \neg Lxx.$

4 *Lab.* (4  $\exists$ .)

5  $\forall x \neg Lxx.$

6 .  $\neg Laa$  (5  $\forall$ ).

4 *Lab.*

5  $\forall x \neg Lxx.$

6  $\neg Laa$  (5  $\forall$ ).

7  $\neg Lbb$  (5  $\forall$ )...

8 Invalid. (cannot do any more...)

- We can introduce the identity symbols  $=$  to predicate logic.

# Identity

- We can introduce the identity symbols  $=$  to predicate logic.
- $=$  indicates two objects are the “same”.

# Identity

- We can introduce the identity symbols  $=$  to predicate logic.
- $=$  indicates two objects are the “same”.
- Symbols  $c$  Samuel Clemens,  $h$  Huckleberry Finn the Novel,  $t$  Mark Twain.

# Identity

- We can introduce the identity symbols  $=$  to predicate logic.
- $=$  indicates two objects are the “same”.
- Symbols  $c$  Samuel Clemens,  $h$  Huckleberry Finn the Novel,  $t$  Mark Twain.
- Mark Twain is not Samuel Clemens.  $\neg(t = c)$  or  $t \neq c$ .

# Identity

- We can introduce the identity symbols  $=$  to predicate logic.
- $=$  indicates two objects are the “same”.
- Symbols  $c$  Samuel Clemens,  $h$  Huckleberry Finn the Novel,  $t$  Mark Twain.
- Mark Twain is not Samuel Clemens.  $\neg(t = c)$  or  $t \neq c$ .
- Only Mark Twain wrote Huckelberry Finn.  $\forall x(Wxh \rightarrow x = t)$ .



# Identity

- We can introduce the identity symbols  $=$  to predicate logic.
- $=$  indicates two objects are the “same”.
- Symbols  $c$  Samuel Clemens,  $h$  Huckleberry Finn the Novel,  $t$  Mark Twain.
- Mark Twain is not Samuel Clemens.  $\neg(t = c)$  or  $t \neq c$ .
- Only Mark Twain wrote Huckelberry Finn.  $\forall x(Wxh \rightarrow x = t)$ .
- Mark Twain is the best American writer  $At \wedge (\forall x(Ax \wedge \neg x = t) \rightarrow Btx)$ .

# Refutation tree rules for Identity

- Identity ( $=$ ) rule:  $\alpha = \beta$  occurs. Then we can replace from  $\phi$  any number of  $\alpha$  with  $\beta$  and vice versa at the bottom of the path.

# Refutation tree rules for Identity

- Identity ( $=$ ) rule:  $\alpha = \beta$  occurs. Then we can replace from  $\phi$  any number of  $\alpha$  with  $\beta$  and vice versa at the bottom of the path.
- Negated Identity Rule ( $\neg =$ ):  $\neg\alpha = \alpha$  occurs. Then we can close the path containing it.

# Example

We show  $\vdash \forall x \forall y (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$ .

# Example

We show  $\vdash \forall x \forall y (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$ .

1  $\neg \forall x \forall y (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$ .

# Example

We show  $\vdash \forall x \forall y (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$ .

1  $\neg \forall x \forall y (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$ .

1  $\checkmark \neg \forall x \forall y (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$ .

2  $\exists x \neg \forall y (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$ .

# Example

We show  $\vdash \forall x \forall y (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$ .

1  $\neg \forall x \forall y (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$ .

1  $\checkmark \neg \forall x \forall y (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$ .

2  $\exists x \neg \forall y (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$ .

1  $\checkmark \neg \forall x \forall y (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$ .

2  $\checkmark \exists x \neg \forall y (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$ .

3  $\neg \forall y (a = y \rightarrow y = a)$ . (2  $\exists$ .)

3  $\checkmark \neg \forall y (a = y \rightarrow y = a)$ . (2  $\exists$ .)

4  $\exists y \neg (a = y \rightarrow y = a)$ . (3  $\neg \forall$ .)



3  $\checkmark \neg \forall y (a = y \rightarrow y = a)$ . (2  $\exists$ .)

4  $\exists y \neg (a = y \rightarrow y = a)$ . (3  $\neg \forall$ .)

4  $\checkmark \exists y \neg (a = y \rightarrow y = a)$ .

5  $\neg (a = b \rightarrow b = a)$ .

3  $\checkmark \neg \forall y (a = y \rightarrow y = a)$ . (2  $\exists$ .)

4  $\exists y \neg (a = y \rightarrow y = a)$ . (3  $\neg \forall$ .)

4  $\checkmark \exists y \neg (a = y \rightarrow y = a)$ .

5  $\neg (a = b \rightarrow b = a)$ .

5  $\checkmark \neg (a = b \rightarrow b = a)$ .

6  $a = b$  (5  $\neg \rightarrow$ )

7  $\neg (b = a)$  (5  $\neg \rightarrow$ ).

3  $\checkmark \neg \forall y (a = y \rightarrow y = a)$ . (2  $\exists$ .)    4  $\checkmark \exists y \neg (a = y \rightarrow y = a)$ .  
4  $\exists y \neg (a = y \rightarrow y = a)$ . (3  $\neg \forall$ ).    5  $\neg (a = b \rightarrow b = a)$ .

5  $\checkmark \neg (a = b \rightarrow b = a)$ .

6  $a = b$  (5  $\neg \rightarrow$ )

7  $\neg (b = a)$  (5  $\neg \rightarrow$ ).

6  $a = b$  (5  $\neg \rightarrow$ )

7  $\neg (b = a)$  (5  $\neg \rightarrow$ ).

8  $\neg (a = a)$ . 6, 7 = X.

● valid.

## Some other equivalences (Repeated)

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .

## Some other equivalences (Repeated)

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists x f \vee \exists x g.$
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall x f \wedge \forall y g.$

## Some other equivalences (Repeated)

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall xf \wedge \forall yg$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  
 $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \vee g$

## Some other equivalences (Repeated)

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall xf \wedge \forall yg$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \vee g$
- $\forall x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \vee g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \wedge g$

## Some other equivalences (Repeated)

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall xf \wedge \forall yg$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \vee g$
- $\forall x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \vee g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \wedge g$
- $\exists yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \exists zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .



## Some other equivalences (Repeated)

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall xf \wedge \forall yg$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \vee g$
- $\forall x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \vee g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \wedge g$
- $\exists yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \exists zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\forall yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \forall zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

## Some other equivalences (Repeated)

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall xf \wedge \forall yg$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \vee g$
- $\forall x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \vee g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \wedge g$
- $\exists yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \exists zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\forall yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \forall zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\exists xf \leftrightarrow f$  if  $x$  is not a free variable of  $f$ .

## Some other equivalences (Repeated)

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall xf \wedge \forall yg$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \vee g$
- $\forall x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \vee g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \wedge g$
- $\exists yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \exists zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\forall yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \forall zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\exists xf \leftrightarrow f$  if  $x$  is not a free variable of  $f$ .
- $\forall xf \leftrightarrow f$  if  $x$  is not a free variable of  $f$ .

## Some other equivalences (Repeated)

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall xf \wedge \forall yg$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \vee g$
- $\forall x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \vee g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \wedge g$
- $\exists yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \exists zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\forall yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \forall zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\exists xf \leftrightarrow f$  if  $x$  is not a free variable of  $f$ .
- $\forall xf \leftrightarrow f$  if  $x$  is not a free variable of  $f$ .
- But  $\exists x(E(x) \wedge T(x))$  is not equivalent to  $(\exists xE(x)) \wedge (\exists xT(x))$ .

## Some other equivalences (Repeated)

- $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow \exists xf \vee \exists xg$ .
- $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow \forall xf \wedge \forall yg$ .
- $\exists x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \wedge g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\exists x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\exists xf) \vee g$
- $\forall x(f \vee g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \vee g$  if  $x$  does not occur as a free variable of  $g$ . And also  $\forall x(f \wedge g) \leftrightarrow (\forall xf) \wedge g$
- $\exists yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \exists zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\forall yf(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \leftrightarrow \forall zf(x_1, \dots, x_n, z)$  if neither  $y, z$  are part of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- $\exists xf \leftrightarrow f$  if  $x$  is not a free variable of  $f$ .
- $\forall xf \leftrightarrow f$  if  $x$  is not a free variable of  $f$ .
- But  $\exists x(E(x) \wedge T(x))$  is not equivalent to  $(\exists xE(x)) \wedge (\exists xT(x))$ .
- $\forall x(E(x) \vee T(x))$  is not equivalent to  $(\forall xE(x)) \vee (\forall xT(x))$ .